

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/712,365	EVERY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James H. Alstrum-Acevedo	1616	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Abandoned

(1) James H. Alstrum-Acevedo.

(3) _____

(2) Ms. Katherine Lobel-Rice, J. D.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 February 2007

Time: ~1:40 pm EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner called Applicants' legal representative, Ms. Katherine Lobel-Rice, J. D. to inquire about the status of the instant application. Attorney Lobel-Rice confirmed that the instant application was abandoned and a continuation application was filed.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)